

Date: June 26 2020

EIC Detector R&D Progress Report

Project ID: eRD20

Project Name: Developing Simulation and Analysis Tools for the EIC

Period Reported: October 1 2019 – September 30 2020

Project Leader: A. Bressan, M. Diefenthaler, T. Wenaus

Contact Person: M. Diefenthaler (mdiefent@jlab.org)

Project members

ANL (2) Whitney Armstrong, Sergei Chekanov

BNL (5) Elke-Caroline Aschenauer, Alexander Kiselev (co-PI), Jerome Lauret, Christopher Pinkenburg, **Torre Wenaus (co-PI)**

JLAB (4) **Markus Diefenthaler (co-PI)**, David Lawrence, Dmitry Romanov, Maurizio Ungaro

Lund University (1) Stefan Prestel

SLAC (2) Makoto Asai, Dennis Wright

University of Trieste (1) **Andrea Bressan (co-PI)**

University of Manitoba (1) Wouter Deconinck

Abstract

Developing the physics program for the EIC, and designing the detectors needed to realize it, requires a plethora of software tools and multifaceted analysis efforts. Many of these tools have yet to be developed or need to be expanded and tuned for the physics reach of the EIC. Currently, various groups use disparate sets of software tools to achieve the same or similar analysis tasks such as Monte Carlo event generation, interaction region and detector simulations, track reconstruction, and event visualization to name a few examples. With a long-range goal of the successful execution of the EIC scientific program in mind, it is clear that early investment in the development of well-defined interfaces for communicating, sharing, and collaborating, will facilitate a timely completion of not just the planning and design of an EIC but ultimate delivery of the physics capable with an EIC.

Past

What was planned for this period?

In FY20, we are continuing our work on common physics and detector simulations for the EIC community with a focus on **Geant4 Simulations** (i.e., maintaining the Geant4 physics list tuned for EIC needs and contributing to the development of Geant4 hadronic models), **Interfaces and Integration** (i.e., unifying to a large extent presently disconnected event simulation and reconstruction pieces of code used by our various communities), and **Monte Carlo Event Generators for the EIC**.

What was achieved?

Geant4 Simulations EIC detector simulations rely on the Geant4 toolkit. We organized with the Geant4 Collaboration a Technical Forum on the EIC, which was held on September 24, 2019, as part of the EIC Software and Geant4 Collaboration meetings at Jefferson Lab. In the Technical Forum, we shared the status of the detector R&D for the EIC, as well as recent news on Geant4. We also discussed the physics list for the EIC that is maintained by the EIC Software Consortium and made requests for improved photo-nuclear and electro-nuclear reactions that have been included in Geant4 version 10.6. With the new version, we have also made first experiences with multi-threading in Geant4. As core of the EICUG Software Working Group, we are working on a flexible accelerator and detector interface that allows to switch in Geant4 simulations between interaction region designs and to combine various detectors in a detector concept.

Interfaces and Integration In June 2018, the EIC User Group (EICUG) formed a software working group with its conveners chosen from the EIC Software Consortium to “*build on the considerable progress made within the EIC Software Consortium (eRD20)*” (from working group announcement). From the start, the EIC Software Consortium has been the core of the Software Working Group. The EICUG Steering Committee and the EICUG in general appreciate the efforts of the software working group. A tutorial for fast simulations at the EICUG meeting in July 2019 was very well received and allowed many users to get started with EIC simulations. This is reflected in the email from the EICUG Steering Committee announcing the EIC Physics and Detector Conceptual Development/ Yellow Report efforts: “*and simulations should be carried out using the EICUG developed software tools*”.

As core of the Software Working Group, we are working on physics and detector simulations that enable a quantitative assessment of the measurement capabilities of the EIC detector(s) and their physics impact for the Yellow Report initiative. The common simulation tools and workflow environment being set up by the working group allows the EICUG to pursue the Yellow Report studies in a manner that is accessible, consistent, and reproducible. In January, February, and April, we have given various tutorials on fast and full detector simulations. Recordings of the tutorials are available on YouTube and are a helpful resource to get started on EIC simulations. The “2nd EIC Yellow Report

Workshop” (May 20-22) has shown that the tools are accepted and used by the Detector and Physics Working Groups. The focus for the remaining year is on the cross-validation of the detector full simulation frameworks as well as the cross-validation of the fast simulations with the full simulations. For this task, we are working with the community on identifying benchmarks results and developing validation tools. The ongoing Yellow Report with many analyses being developed and detector options being studied in an ideal opportunity for doing so. The ongoing validation will improve the quality of the simulation software and will push the work on common input and output formats as well as the exchange of detector geometries between simulation frameworks.

Monte Carlo Event Generators (MCEGs) We are initiating a project with the Monte Carlo communities in the US and Europe (MCnet) to work on MCEGs for the EIC, requiring MCEG for polarized ep, ed, and $e^3\text{He}$ as well as eA measurements. The MCEG initiative is connecting the MCEG efforts in NP and HEP and is encouraging a strong interplay between experiment and theory already at an early stage of the EIC. As an initial step, we have started a workshop series on “MCEGs for future ep and eA facilities” where the third workshop was held in November 2019 at the Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematics and Physics in Vienna, Austria. During the workshop, we reviewed the theory for physics with light and heavy ions and discussed the modifications needed on the general-purpose MCEGs to simulate unpolarized observables also for eA where a precise treatment of the nucleus and its breakup is needed. There were presentations about pioneering MCEG projects for eA (BeAGLE, spectator tagging in ed, Sartre), as well as on the ongoing development of the eA adaptation of JETSCAPE and the Mueller dipole formalism in Pythia8. We also summarized the status of MCEG-data comparisons in HZTool/Rivet that are critical to tune MCEGs to existing DIS and heavy ion data as well on the ongoing work of verifying MCEGs for TMDs with TMD theory / phenomenology.

Our current focus is on benchmarks and validation. We are working with the EICUG on benchmark MC productions and the validation of MC results. This is urgently required for the EIC physics and detector studies and will facilitate the adaption of modern MCEGs that have been so far only used by the LHC community.

What was not achieved, why not, and what will be done to correct?

We are on track to achieve our FY20 goals.

Future

What is planned for the next funding cycle and beyond? How, if at all, is this planning different from the original plan?

We have initiated the EIC Software Consortium to work with the EIC community on software for physics and detector simulations and to build a software community for the EIC. In the last four years, we have communicated the status of EIC software at meetings and workshops, have played a key role in bring existing EIC software to end users, have

worked on critical subjects, and have provided a vision for the future. Our work has been recognized by the EIC community. For two years, we have worked with the international community as core of the EICUG Software Working Group. The ongoing Yellow Reports initiative by the EICUG relies on our work. In 2020, we have reached the initial goals of the EIC Software Consortium. Instead of redefining our mission, we will end the EIC Software Consortium and will continue our work solely as EICUG Software Working Group. At the advisory committee meeting in July, we will not only report on our progress in FY20 but also summarize our successes in the last four years.

What are critical issues?

A workflow environment for the EIC can only grow with user input. The further development of simulation tools requires strong support from the community and will depend on how the community organizes itself and collaborates together.

Additional information:

None.

Manpower

The members of the EIC Software Consortium work on a best-effort basis. The eRD20 funds are presently not used to pay any positions. There are no PostDocs on our project.

External Funding

None.

Publications

None.